

“Objective Priors: A Selective Review” by  
Malay Ghosh

Discussion by Sudip Bose  
The George Washington University.  
UMCP, May 1, 2008.

Professor Ghosh has presented interesting features of objective priors, in particular Jeffreys' prior.

- General properties include invariance under reparameterization – he points out that invariance may also have odd or unattractive consequences; consider variance  $\sigma^2$  and precision  $r = (\sigma^2)^{-1}$ .
- Tools – asymptotic expansion and shrinkage argument (J. K. Ghosh and colleagues)
- Divergence priors
- Matching priors

- Shrinkage argument can simplify derivation of higher order frequentist asymptotics
- Divergence priors – Bernardo/Berger reference priors are based on Kullback-Leibler divergence
- Generalization: if one considers a class of divergence measures based on  $\beta$ , that includes K-L divergence, Bhattacharyya-Hellinger, Chi-square, one still gets Jeffreys' prior

- Matching of Bayesian (conditioned on observed data,  $\theta$  random) and frequentist (observations random, given fixed parameter  $\theta$ ) probabilities of intervals upto order  $n^{-p}$ ;  $p = 1$  first order matching,  $p = 2$  second order matching
- Moment matching priors

Objective vs. Subjective priors – Bayesian Analysis  
(2006, Vol. 1, no. 3)

Objective:

- standard prior for a given situation
- Unification of Bayesian and frequentist
- Use both: subjective priors for some parameters, objective priors for others

Subjective:

- What the purpose of the investigation is – improving our understanding of something vs what do we learn if the data are all we have.
- Scientific learning proceeds from what we believe before an experiment to what we believe after an experiment

## **An argument of Walley and others against improper priors**

Consider for example uniform prior on  $\theta \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Let  $B = (-10^{10}, 10^{10})$  be a long finite interval. With uniform prior, you are willing to bet at any odds that  $\theta$  is **not** in  $B$ . (Behavioral interpretation of improper priors: Hartigan 1983)

Walley suggests lower and upper probabilities for sets,  
 $p_1 \leq P(A) \leq p_2$ .

Closely related to Bayesian robustness.

Perhaps class of priors such as  $L(\theta) \leq \pi(\theta) \leq U(\theta)$   
with  $L(\theta)$  standard normal,  $U(\theta) = 1$ .

Powers of  $I(\theta)$ ? vary criterion, vary parameterization.